

Jurisdictional Verification Package

Verification of Lawful Jurisdiction and Authority in Medical Confinement

Purpose (One Paragraph)

This package is submitted to compel formal verification of lawful jurisdiction and authority in the medical confinement of a human being. It does not allege wrongdoing, request relief, initiate litigation, or propose new policy. It identifies the requirement that jurisdiction and authority be verified prior to any involuntary medical detention or deprivation of bodily autonomy and documents the absence of any publicly articulated verification standard. **The jurisdictional principle addressed here applies universally to any exercise of authority over a biological human being; this package addresses its application in medical confinement only.** All downstream determinations are therefore conditional upon unresolved jurisdictional questions.

Question Presented

Where is the verified lawful basis establishing jurisdiction and authority for the medical confinement of a human being?

Binary Verification Questions (Yes/No Only)

The following questions require only a *yes* or *no* response. Narrative explanation, policy discussion, procedural description, or deferral does not constitute an answer. Any *yes* response must be accompanied by the cited instrument, determination, or verification record.

1. Prior to any deprivation of liberty, custody, control, confinement, or bodily autonomy, is lawful jurisdiction over the human being formally verified as a threshold matter?
Yes ☐ No ☐

2. Is there a documented, standardized verification process establishing jurisdiction before authority is exercised over a human being?
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Does jurisdiction attach automatically by administrative classification, or must it be affirmatively established with evidence?
Automatic ☐ Verified ☐
4. Is authority ever lawfully exercised over a human being without a prior finding of verified jurisdiction?
Yes ☐ No ☐
5. Do emergency conditions eliminate the requirement to verify jurisdiction, or do they merely affect timing?
Eliminate ☐ Affect Timing Only ☐
6. Is there a publicly accessible record identifying the lawful source of jurisdiction relied upon in each exercise of authority over a human being?
Yes ☐ No ☐
7. Has any court adjudicated and affirmed, on the merits, that jurisdiction over a human being need not be verified prior to deprivation of liberty or custody?
Yes ☐ No ☐

Statement of Undisputed Facts

1. Medical confinement deprives a human being of bodily autonomy.
2. Jurisdiction is a prerequisite to lawful medical detention.
3. Authority exercised without jurisdiction is void as a matter of law.
4. Consent exceptions do not eliminate jurisdictional requirements.
5. No uniform public jurisdictional verification standard is articulated or disclosed.

Normative Verification Requirement

Under constitutional structure and fundamental principles of law, jurisdiction must be established before authority may be exercised to confine a human being for medical purposes.

Absent verification, medical confinement operates on assumption rather than lawful authority.

Jurisdictional Consequence

If jurisdiction is not verified prior to medical confinement, subsequent detention is administrative in nature and rests on unverified authority. This condition precedes and constrains courts, agencies, and legislatures alike.

Requested Action (Verification Only)

The receiving authority is requested to take one of the following actions:

1. Identify and provide the lawful instrument, standard, or verification record establishing jurisdiction and authority prior to medical confinement; or
2. Formally acknowledge that no such verified jurisdictional process exists.

No further action is requested.

Intended Recipients

This package is suitable for submission to:

- Courts authorizing involuntary medical detention
- Medical or psychiatric authorities exercising confinement powers
- Legislative oversight bodies for inclusion in the permanent record

Closing Statement

This is a threshold verification inquiry. Until jurisdiction and authority are formally verified, medical confinement determinations remain conditional.